

IS THE UNIVERSE EXPANDING?

By David Ben Ya'akov

© 2002/2019 David Ben Ya'akov / Delusion Resistance

EXPANDING INTO WHAT?

For the past twenty years or more, we have been reading articles, listening to news blurbs and have been taught in the schools that the universe is expanding. Most of those in my generation can understand what expanding means because our waistlines have been doing the same thing as we get older. But what about this “expanding universe” scenario that is being taught to us. Are there fallacies to this theory? Does the Bible mention anything about an expanding universe? Let's take a look.

Explained in simple terms, the scientific theory for the creation of the universe goes something like this. They say that before the big bang all of the matter in the universe was compressed into something no bigger than any period on this page. The dot started to spin and build up energy until at one moment it exploded in a superheated mass that contained all of the pieces that would make up all of the atoms in the universe. This explosion then expanded over billions of years to become what the universe is today.

You know, the problem with society today is that no one sits down and ponders things anymore. We're so busy in a run around the world that we won't examine what our minds are being fed. We see examples of physics and other natural laws every day that we assume that we know everything. We know for instance, that if our car runs head-on into another car coming from the opposite direction there will be a wreck that will ruin both cars. We know that if we take a corner too fast centrifugal force will pull us one way or the other. Finally, we know that if we don't clean our homes, they become worse off which proves the second law of thermodynamics, but we suddenly become ignorant and accepting when someone tells us that there was a big bang that created everything from nothing, and did it as a happenstance. We didn't see it, no one was there to experience it, but it is presented as fact.

Big Bang Has Big Holes:

The Big Bang, as it is currently being inculcated, presents more problems than questions. This is usually the case when lies are presented as fact; more lies have to be added to cover for the prior lies. Anyway, let's look at some of the simple problems that anyone with common sense can see with a little bit of thought.

Matter versus no matter: We are constantly told that all of the matter (or potential matter) in the universe was crowded into a period sized dot before the big bang. On the other hand, we are told that Matter didn't exist before the big bang. Hence, we have a problem because we are being told that something that didn't exist actually did exist, but it wasn't Matter like we have today.

Atoms didn't exist: We are told that before the big bang, atoms didn't exist, only the elements of atoms. We are told that only after the big bang did those subatomic elements get together to make atoms. It is interesting to note that the "evolution of Matter," is suggested here. Then, like something from a children's story, the subatomic particles knew how to get together to form atoms, and from there molecules. Now, what the scientists are reluctant to state is that they are great at splitting atoms and making subatomic particles, but that is all they have done. They have never made an atom out of those particles. Now if people who are supposed intellectuals can't perform such a fete than how can things that have no thought or will to do so accomplish it???

We have been taught that Matter and time are intertwined, so much so that one cannot exist without the other. This is a true statement and can be best exhibited by the reality of the "atomic clock," which science touts as the most exact measurement of time ever invented. The reason that this can be done is due to the fact that time is measured by the cesium 133 atom. It is fairly complicated to explain so I have included the following link for you to go to and learn more about using the atom to measure time. Go to <http://www.howstuffworks.com/atomic-clock.htm> to learn more. Seeing that time and matter both hold each other up, it would be impossible for there to be time before any supposed big bang. Yet, we still have evolutionists telling us that there was time before the big bang.

Remember as mentioned above, that science says that that little dot that would someday become the big bang was spinning. Now that we have determined that there was no time before any supposed big bang, then how could there have been "spinning?" Spinning denotes time and

there was no time. Everything that spins can be measured, whether it be revolutions per minute, per second or even per nanosecond, so how could spinning occur? Simple, it can't. Spinning requires a mass to spin, yet there were no atoms so there could not have been any mass. It becomes more ridiculous the more you look at it.

Let's remember that this thing was supposed to be an explosion. All explosions require an ignition source. Some might argue that friction inside the whirling dervish might have been an ignition source, but there were no atoms, so there could have been no friction. Some might suggest some sort of nuclear explosion, but there were no atoms yet. Fusion and fission can only happen where atoms exist.

There are specific laws that govern the universe, just as there are rules that govern everyday life. Every law has a lawgiver. Humanity has laws because mankind was given a free will by its creator. After the fall of Adam which introduced lawlessness into the world, laws became a requirement. The Universe, on the other hand, does not have a will of its own no matter how much some religions try to say it does.

The first two laws of thermodynamics are an established fact, heralded by science to be true until the evolution of the universe and life on Earth are concerned. In laymen's terms the two laws state:

The first law of thermodynamics says that the total quantity of energy in the universe remains constant. This is the principle of the conservation of energy. The second law of thermodynamics states that the quality of this energy is degraded irreversibly. This is the principle of the degradation of energy.

Hence to explain it in even more simple terms, "the total energy of the universe is constant, but it can decay unless more energy is added to keep it going." My best way of imagining this is an automobile. Let's say that I just bought a new truck and had it delivered to my driveway. Now, here is a machine that has the ability to do a lot of work. Work will not be performed unless I turn the key and introduce an electric current to the coil, which goes to the spark plugs. In the meantime, the fuel pump sends gasoline to the explosion chamber. When the spark meets the fuel/air mixture it pushes the piston down, causing the crank to turn, which in turn causes the transmission to transmit power to the wheels that cause the car to move.

Now let's suppose that I decide that I don't want to maintain the truck. About the fourth or fifth-year things are going to start to go wrong with the machine. Because I didn't wash it or apply wax to it the paint finish starts to corrode. First, it will oxidize then finally the metal will start to rust. I never changed the coolant hoses so they are leaking. The oil has never been changed and that has caused the piston rings wear and some of the seals to decay. Because I neglected the truck and didn't perform work in order to maintain it, I have allowed entropy to happen more rapidly than if I would have applied work and effort during the five years that I have owned the vehicle.

We see this process everywhere we look. As a matter of fact, almost everyone employed all over the Earth is employed because of the effects of entropy. Nothing ever gets better and everything, if left to itself, will decay and die. Do we at least agree on that? Well then, how is it then that so many believe the lie of evolution, both here on Earth and out in the universe? If evolution was true, then it would fly in the face of the laws of thermodynamics. Life could never have evolved on Earth because the odds would be stacked against it. The universe would have crumbled because energy would have decreased enough in these supposed billions of years because there is no one to maintain it. And finally, science seems to be saying, "yes, we believe in thermodynamics, but not where evolution is concerned." There is no way that evolution can skip specific scientific laws that every other process in science and life are bound to.

Conservation of Angular Momentum:

One of the most interesting things to look at when considering the expanding universe is something called "The Conservation of Angular Momentum." The best way to illustrate this can be found at www.drdino.com. This website is run by Kent Hovind and he poses some interesting challenges to those in the evolution realm. Kent was on an airplane one day and he was sitting right next to a professor from The University of California at Berkeley. This is a very secular school with a very extreme leftist agenda. Kent wasted no time in starting a debate with the professor.

Kent proposed a very interesting problem to the professor. Kent started to talk about a merry-go-round and how at certain speeds you would receive different reactions from the children. Kent worked up the story to a point where at around 100 miles per hour the children would fly off the device. He said that the children would all spin off in the same direction and that they too would spin in the same direction as the merry-go-round. The professor interjected that he was aware of the conservation of angular momentum and confirmed that Kent was right.

Kent then proposed that since this is true, then if the big bang was true then the same principle should apply, to which the professor agreed. He then asked the professor why two planets in the solar system spin backward. The professor said that this was new to him and suggested that perhaps an object struck the planets to turn them around. Kent laughed and asked if the professor was aware of what it would take reverse the spin of an entire planet? Kent asked, "wouldn't it leave a big scar on the body?" Then Kent said six of the moons in the solar system also spin backward. Kent then said that he knew why those bodies spin backward. He told the professor that God did it that way to make the big bang look stupid. Boy, you can't argue with logic, can you?

What Do They Mean By "Created,"?

Did you ever notice that science seems to be confused about the words that they use? They use a lot of speculative words and if you read any of their works very carefully you will notice that those works are just a bunch of guesses and ponderings. But the most interesting word that they use is the word "creation." Almost all textbooks and press releases will use a term similar to, "the universe was created by the Big Bang." Some even are as bold as to say, "at the creation of the universe." Frankly, for them, it is a bad choice of words. The Big Bang would have made a lousy creator because we are told that it was a chaotic event like most explosions are. You can never obtain order from disorder. It would be easier to believe that the faces on Mount Rushmore came to be by way of wind and rain erosion.

Again I had to look up the word "create," in order to get the correct sense of the word. It appears directly below:

Main Entry: 'cre·ate

Pronunciation: krE-'At, 'krE-"

Function: verb

Inflected Form(s): cre-at-ed; cre-at-ing

Etymology: Middle English, from Latin creatus, past participle of creare; akin to Latin crescere to grow

Date: 14th century

transitive senses

1 : to bring into existence <God created the heaven and the earth — Gen 1:1 (Authorized Version)>

2 a : to invest with a new form, office, or rank <was created a lieutenant> b : to produce or bring about by a course of action or behavior

3 : CAUSE, OCCASION <famine creates high food prices>

4 a : to produce through imaginative skill <create a painting> b : DESIGN <creates

dresses>

intransitive senses : to make or bring into existence something new

One thing that all of the definitions above have is that they all require a CREATOR and one who is able to create in an intelligent way. Perhaps the scientific community is really tipping its hand when they use the word Create. Perhaps they really know deep down inside that there is an intelligent creator. I certainly hope they realize the truth because one day we will all meet that creator.

So What is The Universe Expanding Into:

I think that it is very important to examine the word “expand,” before we continue this study. The Merriam-Webster Internet Dictionary gives us the following definition:

Main Entry: ex-pand

Pronunciation: ik-'spand

Function: verb

Etymology: Middle English expaunden, from Latin expandere, from ex- + pandere to spread

Date: 15th century

transitive senses

1 : to open up : UNFOLD

2 : to increase the extent, number, volume, or scope of : ENLARGE

I believe that all will agree with me that the second definition pretty well sums up what science means when it says that the universe is expanding. Hence, they are saying that it is increasing in volume. They’re saying that it has expanded and continues to do so.

But one has to ask the question; what is the universe expanding into? We run into a problem here because everything we know of that expands does so into something else. Take for example a balloon. Until a great volume of liquid or gas is introduced into the balloon it is just a piece of rubber. When gas or liquid is introduced into the balloon the balloon expands to accommodate the pressure. The pressure on the inside causes the skin to expand into the medium that surrounds the balloon. And that only happens because there is enough room for the balloon to expand and there is a viable medium outside. There is only one explanation for what the universe is expanding into if it is indeed expanding. It could only be expanding into eternity; a timeless dimension. I suspect that some scientists have come to the correct conclusion on this but they will never voluntarily express their thoughts because it would cause them to admit that there

is someone greater than the universe and everything that was created. But even though the universe does sit in and is surrounded by eternity, it is not expanding, but is a fixed size and has some peculiar properties as we will now see.

The Biblical Perspective:

The Biblical perspective of the creation of the universe is quite simple and concrete to those who are earnestly searching for the truth. But before we get into any Biblical jargon, let's look at some of the words that science itself chooses in its syntax. First, we have the word "universe," itself. First, we have the word (prefix) UNI, which denotes a singular effect; (example; unicycle = one wheel). Second is the word VERSE, which is a spoken word. Put together they spell UNIVERSE, or "a single spoken sentence." Gee, where do we see that in the Bible?

In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth. Genesis 1:1

We can see that the very word that sciences use to describe all that we can sense is actually a condemnation of their belief system. It befuddles me how so many self-ascribed intellectuals can miss such an obvious fact.

As mentioned above, science uses the word "created," as in "when the universe was created by the Big Bang," thus ascribing an intelligent act to an impersonal action of fantasy. But, those who trust God know that everything created has to have a creator and nothing can exist without one. Again, we see the "single spoken sentence."

What the Bible Says:

The Bible says many things about how God created the Universe. Let's look at what He says through the Prophets and others about what He did:

He alone stretches out the heavens and treads on the waves of the sea. Job 9:8

He wraps himself in light as with a garment; he stretches out the heavens like a tent Psalm 104:2

By wisdom the LORD laid the earth's foundations, by understanding he set the heavens in place. Proverbs 3:19

All the stars of the heavens will be dissolved and the sky rolled up like a scroll; all the starry host will fall like withered leaves from the vine, like shriveled figs from the fig tree. Isaiah 34:4

He sits enthroned above the circle of the earth, and its people are like grasshoppers. He stretches out the heavens like a canopy, and spreads them out like a tent to live in. Isaiah 40:22

This is what God the LORD says, he who created the heavens and stretched them out, who spread out the earth and all that comes out of it, who gives breath to its people, and life to those who walk on it... Isaiah 42:5

“This is what the LORD says—your Redeemer, who formed you in the womb: I am the LORD, who has made all things, who alone stretched out the heavens, who spread out the earth by myself... Isaiah 42:44

It is I who made the earth and created mankind upon it. My own hands stretched out the heavens; I marshaled their starry hosts. Isaiah 45:12

that you forget the LORD your Maker, who stretched out the heavens and laid the foundations of the earth... Isaiah 51:13

But God made the earth by his power; he founded the world by his wisdom and stretched out the heavens by his understanding. Jeremiah 10:12

“He made the earth by his power; he founded the world by his wisdom and stretched out the heavens by his understanding. Jeremiah 51:15

This is the word of the LORD concerning Israel. The LORD, who stretches out the heavens, who lays the foundation of the earth, and who forms the spirit of man within him. Zechariah 12:1

It's interesting to note that God describes the universe as a fabric that has been stretched out. As seen in the scripture above; Isaiah 40:22, God says that he stretched out the heavens like a canopy or a tent to live in. Again, we see the universe described as a fabric. Furthermore, it is interesting that when scientists talk about space, they describe it as a fabric; as in the term, “the very fabric of space and time.” Perhaps they know something they're not telling us. The Hebrew word stretched out is “natah {naw-taw'},” and it is used in a variety of places in the Bible.

If we do a word comparison with other scriptures where the Bible uses the same word stretch, we see some interesting things. The same word is used to describe when Moses stretched

out his arm with the staff and the Red Sea separated and became as dry land in order to allow the Israelites to escape from Pharaoh. Every time that Moses stretched out his arm in Exodus the word, “natah,” is used. It’s a word connoted with authority and power, as well as faith. The same word is used for instance, in Genesis 33:19 when Jacob “spread out,” his tents. So in essence, when we see the word used in Isaiah, and since it is the same word, we can rightly judge that it means that God spread forth his arm in an authoritative manner and stretched the fabric of the universe into what we see it as today. He created one tent, one place of habitation in the vast sea of eternity for His creation to abide.

Taking the above into account, we have to believe that the universe isn’t expanding. There is nothing to expand into because it is a tent, or a garment if you will, that has been completed. Like the Bible says in Genesis, He saw what He did, and He said it was good, or as we would say it, it was complete.

Now by looking at the scriptures above, we can again ascertain that the heavens are a fabric. In Isaiah 34:4 we are told by Isaiah that he saw something that was truly mind-boggling. He saw the sky roll up like a scroll! Now in Isaiah’s time, there were two things that scrolls were made from; animal skins and papyrus. They didn’t have bound books like we have today. A scroll was one sheet of material that varied in width and could be from inches long to many feet long. There were two rollers on each end and the material was rolled on the rolls from the ends toward the center.



When a person in that day wanted to read a long scroll, they would lay it on a table, open it a bit then roll the rollers until they came to the place where they wanted to read. There were also scrolls of proclamation that were much shorter. You’ve probably seen them in movies that

relate to Biblical times, or perhaps movies relating to any time up until the 1800's. These scrolls also had a rod affixed to each end. When a proclamation was made, or perhaps a very short scroll was to be read, the reader would hold one end in his left hand and would stretch forth his right hand to open the scroll. So, we can assume that the heavens were stretched forth like a scroll because the action of stretching is the same in the Hebrew vernacular. This would well back up what Isaiah says when he says that the heavens (sky) would be rolled up like a scroll.

Aren't the galaxies billions of light years away and doesn't that prove an ancient expanding universe?

Well, that is what science would have you and I believe. Science measures such distances in what is called light years. That is the speed at which light travels in one year. Light travels at 186,000 miles per second. It takes the light from the sun, which is 93 million miles away, about 8 minutes to reach our Earth. These vast distances can only be measured accurately up to a certain point, and everything past that point is pure conjecture. This is better explained on another page on this website that can be reached by going back to the index. It talks about measuring distances in the cosmos.

There are many in the Christian community who are confused about the size and age of the Universe. We live in a world where so many are coming at us from so many sides with lies and deceit that many in our number have listened and believed the lies. It saddens me when I hear a Christian say that the Earth has to be billions of years old and that the universe is tens of billions of years old. Had these people read their scripture clearly and believed the Bible as the word of God they would not be so deceived.

When I think of Christians or anyone else for that matter, who try to meld Biblical truth with worldly thought it reminds me of building a radio. There is a lot involved in building a radio. All of the resistors, diodes, and other electrical components have to be soldered in their correct positions. Then the radio has to be tuned to certain frequencies in order for the whole radio to work. The people mentioned above have built the radio, but they didn't follow the instructions correctly. They put diodes where resistors should be and have left transistors out, thinking that they don't need them. What you have in the end is a wonderful looking radio that is worthless. The Bible is a manual that has to be followed to the letter and it can't be melded with worldly things. The results are ideas and thoughts that might look good but are useless.

I have to admit that I pondered an old Earth and theistic evolution theories for a short time but then I was shown a scripture that cemented my belief in a recent creation and young Earth and Universe. That scripture is found in 2 Peter and reads:

For this they willingly are ignorant of, that by the word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water: 2 Peter 3:5

The first part of that scripture is plain and simple. It states that when God created the universe, he created it with the appearance of being old. During the period of one twenty-four-hour day, he made the universe look billions of years old. And as Peter says at the beginning of the sentence, those who perpetuate evolution as a fact really know the truth, but they deliberately don't tell you and I the truth.

Now, I've included the second part of that scripture for a reason also. Basically, it says that the earth was created with oceans and continents. The evolutionists say that first there was a molten land mass and that over millions of years water accumulated to form oceans, lakes, and rivers. So you see, God in His infinite wisdom and knowledge knew that someday men would come along who would distort the truth, and He let us know the truth through the writings of His prophets and apostles.

Where Is the Universe Expanding From?

Over the years I have really learned to listen carefully to people when they talk. You can tell a lot about people by listening to them talk. If you listen really carefully, you can even tell if what they are saying is true or not, depending on the words that they say and the verbal punctuation that they put in their sentences. For instance, you can ask a person, "how are you doing" and it can be a legitimate question asked out of concern for another human being. However, if you were to ask the same question, "how are you doing," putting the emphasis on the word "you" and adding a demeaning flair to the word and you can turn the nice question into a very hostile question. Ah, the complicated human language system.

In the same manner, if you don't listen carefully to a speech or a news broadcast, there are many things that can be missed. I say that in order to open into something that I heard on a documentary on a local access channel here in Sacramento recently. The woman who was giving the lesson was talking about the universe, and how it is supposedly expanding. The woman said something that really made my ears perk up. She said that a man named Hubble (for whom the

space telescope is named after) had made some equations several years ago where he proved Hubble's Law. In this law, (the woman stated) Hubble proved that all of the Galaxies in the universe are moving away from the Earth. By this, I surmise that she meant that the galaxies are moving away from the Earth's position in the cosmos.

Now, if this is true, we have to look at one very important implication to this statement. We will look at a couple of illustrations to examine this statement. We will look at an exploding paint bag and a light bulb.

Let us hang a large baggie of paint in the center of a room. In that bag, we will insert a small explosive called an M-80, which is a pretty big fire-cracker. We will light a long fuse and leave the room. After a very large explosion we enter the room and notice that the firecracker has caused a mess, but the mess is just about everywhere in the room. Humor me for a second, and let us pretend that the firecracker was the big bang and that the paint was all the matter in the universe. So, we see that the big bang threw out all the matter and it is dispersed everywhere (although chaotically) throughout the universe. There was, however, one center of focus in the center of the universe from where this all began.

For a less messy example, let us look at a light bulb. When electricity is introduced to the bulb it produces light. Now, the rays of light that come from the bulb light up the room in various degrees. The room that is lit is lit from one focal point though, the bulb. It is the center of all the light that is being introduced into the room.

If you are wondering where I am going with all of this, I will tell you. If you believe in a "big bang," which I personally do not, you have to believe that there had to be someplace where it occurred. There had to be a point where it all is expanding from. The lady in the documentary said that Hubble discovered (and science calls it a law) that all the galaxies seem to be moving away from the area that Earth inhabits in space. If all of that is true, and Hubble was right, then Earth is the center of the Universe.

Now, if Earth is the center of the Universe, what are the spiritual implications of that. The Christian Church has held the belief that Earth is the center of the universe ever since its birth two thousand years ago. Since the universe was created by God that way in the Big Creation, then it must be a very special place. Since man is the highest form of intelligence on Earth, then he must be a very special form of creation.

It is my opinion that those scientists who are in a high position really know the truth. Hubble's' discovery and law really prove that Earth is the center of the universe, but many in science don't want us to know that. They don't want us to know that because it would like I mentioned in the prior paragraph, prove that man and Earth are special and unique. The Earth being center of the universe would prove that the Lord is God, and many in power and in science don't want that to come out.

I realize that there are those who read this article who will attack my lack of credentials. They will say that since I don't have a diploma hanging on my wall from some liberal institution of learning that I don't know what I am talking about. My answer to that is this. While having a diploma hanging on a wall might enable a person to calculate the value of Pi to the hundredth degree, or to accelerate an atom until it splits into a thousand tiny fragments it still doesn't tell me that such a person has common sense or any sort of morality. Certainly, when those in the scientific community go around telling us that we descended from apes and that everything that we see happened in one cosmic accident followed by millions of smaller accidents, they certainly lack any sort of common sense. When they perpetuate it as a religion to deceive the youth of America and the world, it certainly lacks morality. And finally, to delude oneself into believing one's own lie just so that you don't have to believe the truth and be accountable to a higher authority, well, that lacks description.

In closing, remember that those who teach such things are, as the scripture says, "deliberately ignorant," meaning that they deliberately deny the truth, knowing full well the consequences of such actions. And in closing we read the words of the Apostle Paul in his second letter to Timothy, where he describes such people:

But mark this: There will be terrible times in the last days. People will be lovers of themselves, lovers of money, boastful, proud, abusive, disobedient to their parents, ungrateful, unholy, without love, unforgiving, slanderous, without self-control, brutal, not lovers of the good, treacherous, rash, conceited, lovers of pleasure rather than lovers of God, having a form of godliness but denying its power. Have nothing to do with them. 2 Timothy 3:1-5