

REVERENDS OR IRREVERANT?

As the founding member and minister of The Delusion Resistance I have been involved in many years as being a watchman on the wall. I have been called to be one who looks at delusions and deceptions in order to warn people of the wolves that are trying to interlope into the Body of Mashiach. This has not been an easy road to follow because so many in the Body of Mashiach aren't willing to listen and/or investigate the bizarre things that our out in the world just waiting to ensnare people who lack discernment.

Surprisingly, many of the deceptions that are happening in our current time aren't exactly coming to us from the outside, but from right within the Body of Mashiach. It used to be that the deceptions and delusions were coming from without, but the enemy has done a very good job of putting covert people within the ranks of our brothers and sisters. This has happened in great part because the Body of Mashiach has become worldly and to use a Star Trek term, they are flying with their shields down. We've grown to be so puffed up that many of us doubt that the enemy could ever place covert people within our ranks. Yahweh would never permit that, right? They forget that most of the epistles in the New Covenant are letters from Apostles warning people not to be duped and in some of them the churches are chastised for letting unholy practices into the Fellowship of The Elect. The modern church, in a prideful arrogance has ignored that this could happen and they even proclaim that it cannot happen. Were our brothers and sisters of the early church less holy than we modern day believers are; I think and the fruit of the modern Church tend to show it to be the opposite.

In the pursuance of signs and wonders and/or prosperity the Fellowship at large has left the back door open. The enemy, like any good thief constantly looks for ways with which he can enter in and steal the precious things of the home. Many have substituted the simple and fortifying things of the faith in order to gain what a few false shepherds have placed in front of them and they've left themselves poor and naked in the things of Yahweh while rich in wood, hay and stubble. While so called believers are stumbling over themselves to look for diamonds and gold dust on the floor, and while they're barking like dogs and clucking like chickens the enemy has perpetrated the most daring home invasion takeover that has ever happened to the Body of Mashiach.

The Body of Mashiach used to be the entity that dictated to the world what was good and holy and right. However, over the past hundred years or so they have allowed the world to come

in and dictate to them what is good. There is a quote that is attributed to two different men; Edmund Burke and John Philpot. No one is sure who the original author was but that doesn't matter for our purposes. The quote is:

“Evil prospers when good men do nothing.”

This is so true and the fact that our world is in such a mess is evidence of this very fact. Had Believers in Yeshua protested all of the evil that has come upon us; protested to the point of spilling our own blood, then perhaps the world and The Fellowship would have stood up and taken notice. However, it seems like people always want someone else to take action and to spill “their” blood and because of this nothing unholy has really been challenged to the point of stopping or preventing evil from prospering in this world.

We have also allowed the world to dictate morality to us, the Elect. There was a time when I was young that people would speak out about bad things plus, they would not allow ungodly morality to even come close to taking reign in the Believer's realm. Back in the late 70's and onward “political correctness” came into being. This mode of thinking basically states that there is a boundary in which things are acceptable and unacceptable. This would be good if it was Holy, but it has been instituted by socialistic and communistic types who strive to dictate what society can and cannot say and do. Because of this, there are certain groups that have championed this banner to the point of ridiculousness and they have used it as a moral and political tool to shut the mouths of anyone who goes against their grain.

One big fault that the Fellowship of The Elect has done is that it has allowed political correctness to infiltrate the Fellowship and negate the Holy Scriptures. For instance, I have been told many times, when offering correction to a brother or sister, that I was being judgmental and that the Bible says that we should not judge each other. Well, this is bovine scatology because there are several Greek words for “judge” and the one where Yeshua said not to “judge” really is rendered in the Greek to mean “condemn.” Yeshua went out his way (maybe because He knew that political correctness would reign someday) to tell us that we could judge; in that we can judge the fruit that another is producing. He stated that if that fruit was not good fruit; if it was counterfeit fruit on the tree; then those branches should be cut off. Paul further states that people that do such things should be shunned by the true believers until they come to repentance.

So, you see, the enemy has infiltrated the church and adulterated scripture much like he originally corrupted Yahweh's words to Adam and Chava which led to the fall of mankind from

their originally state of godliness with Yahweh. In the same way there are charlatans running around today using the same tactics to divide the races. Bear in mind that there will probably always be divisions between races and peoples that live outside of Mashiach, but we see it is arising in the Fellowship of The Elect now and it is bothersome.

There are two high profile individuals out in the world that seem to like to race bait and divide whatever good can be done through the blood of Yeshua and the power of His saving Grace. Sadly, these two men call themselves “reverends” and because of this it appears to the world that they actually are true believers in Yeshua, thus making the world take hold of their message, whatever way they choose to present it at the time at hand. I will not put the title of “reverend” in front of the names of these two men because as far as I am concerned, they are false shepherds and they sow the seeds of hatred and discord.

As I said before, we are able to and exhorted in scripture to look at the fruit of these men and it is permissible to also look at the foundation upon which they stand. If their foundation is faulty and others try to build upon it then those others are in danger of being on the rooftops of these men when the waves come crashing against their houses and could perish. If it can be shown that these men are evil and they do not have the best interest of people at hand then they should be exposed. I hope to show that these two men are plants of the enemy of Mashiach and that their goal in life is to cause division and to make a lot of money while doing that.

I feel that the actions of these two men are quite evident because every time they show up at an event they seem to pour the gasoline of hatred onto people and ignite them into a frenzied fire of hatred and riotous dissent. They seem to be quite adept at knowing just how far to go so as to ignite a riot but not place themselves into harm’s way or in the light of suspicion. They have ensconced themselves so well in the population which they pretend to support yet they do not live within the confines of that population and they seem to disappear when the peaceful demonstration turns into a riot. They then are heard as a voice of calm from without the melee and far from harm. It is true that Sharpton has been arrested a few times but it usually has been for civil disobedience, not the mayhem that comes later.

We first have to look at the title that they have bestowed upon themselves.

REVEREND:

*Syllabification: (rev-
erend)
ion / 'rev(a)rand, 'rev(a)rnd*

Pronunciat

adjective

(usually Reverend)

- *used as a title or form of address to members of the clergy: the Reverend Jesse Jackson*

noun – informal

a member of the clergy

Origin

*late Middle English: from Old French, or from Latin reverendus 'person to be revered',
gerundive of revereri (revere)*

[http://oxforddictionaries.com/us/definition/american_english/reverend]

We see from the definition that a “reverend” is someone is to be revered. But let’s look at the word “revered” to see what it means, and especially what the synonyms to this word are:

Definition of REVERE

To Show devoted deferential honor to: regard of worthy of great honor <revere the aged> <revere tradition>

Synonyms: adore, deify, glorify, worship, reverence, venerate

A reverend is someone who should be shown deferential treatment. That means that you show him honor above others. Deference means to show respect and esteem that is due to an individual in regard to their status. However, since respect has to be earned, we need to esteem

someone based on the content of their character as it has to do with the position that they hold. For instance, I hold General Patton in a high honor as a general because he proved himself to be a great general by his actions and his victories. If Patton was a loser then I would in not hold him in high honor. I hold David Wilkerson in high honor because he was an awesome man of Yahweh, but I do not revere him like I revere Yeshua. A simple look at the synonyms of “revere” seem to suggest that the person that holds the title of Reverend should be adored, deified, glorified, worshipped and venerated; all of these words suggest honoring a god-man rather than just a great man who has earned his greatness. To be labeled as “reverend” seems to me to be a far reach over the edge and almost blasphemous.

A few years ago, I was speaking at a conference and the words that I spoke and that another speaker spoke also, offended a lot of people who did not hold to the doctrine that we were trying to show them. We were showing that belief in UFOs and extraterrestrials were in fact a religion and we tried that religion in a court using Biblical Scripture as well as the testimony of some scientists and former victims of alien abduction. In essence, we proved that the entities that masquerade around as “extraterrestrials” are in fact fallen angels. When it was all over, and those whom we offended complained to the Roswell City Council we were in effect banned from speaking at any city sponsored seminar. You see, we were firm in our assertion that what we believed was true and we were not going to bend or compromise. Compromise is always the sign of a weak individual and weakness should not be revered for sure but instead it should be seen bad character trait; one that should be dishonored, not honored.

There was another individual who was known by these same people as one who would bend and compromise. I overheard one of the offended people speaking out in the foyer and he said this; he said “that is why we like *** ***** because he is willing to not be so rigid and he doesn’t force things down our throats.” The “world” recognized this man because he was willing to agree with them in order to get into their good graces, to drink with them at bars and to compromise what he really believed (if he indeed did believe it) in order to be popular; and he was popular because of that. If you tell the truth in this world the world will hate you. If you compromise it will love you and parade you before everyone.

I said all of that to say this. These two people; Jessie Jackson and Al Sharpton do compromise. Now, I am assuming that they have at least one sanctified bone in their bodies to make that statement. They wear the title of Reverend on their sleeve and they light up when they are announced with that title. The world reveres them because they appear to care about people and they are self-appointed spokesmen for people. They are basically messianic figures for the

black community; however they are not lambs, they are more like jackals in their character. In essence, if they truly are believers in Yeshua and His Gospel then they are at the very best trying to serve two masters and as we know from scripture, that is impossible. They want to wear the title of Reverend so that it appears that they are Holy Men, but the fruit that they bear is not good fruit, but rotten and stinking fruit, and their branches will be cut off one day.

So what about these men. When they are not walking around inciting people into a froth of riotous violence what are they doing to prove that they are holy men. Again, we have to look at their fruit. The foundation of a tree is its root system. If the foundation is corrupt then the rest of the tree will be corrupt also. Let's look at a scripture that pretty well describes people like Jackson and Sharpton:

For, lo, I will raise up a shepherd in the land, which shall not visit those that be cut off, neither shall seek the young one, nor heal that that is broken, nor feed that that standeth still: but he shall eat the flesh of the fat, and tear their claws in pieces. Woe to the idol shepherd that leaveth the flock! the sword shall be upon his arm, and upon his right eye: his arm shall be clean dried up, and his right eye shall be utterly darkened. Zechariah 11:16-17

We also must examine that Scripture says about the character and life of those who choose to be called a bishop or deacon. While the title of reverend is not mention in these scriptures, we have to believe that these definitions must reflect on reverends also because in the ecclesiastical order a "reverend" lies between the offices of deacon and bishop. What does Scripture say should be the demeanor of a reverend? Let's see what Scripture says:

This is a true saying, if a man desire the office of a bishop, he desireth a good work. A bishop then must be blameless, the husband of one wife, vigilant, sober, of good behaviour, given to hospitality, apt to teach; Not given to wine, no striker, not greedy of filthy lucre; but patient, not a brawler, not covetous; One that ruleth well his own house, having his children in subjection with all gravity; (For if a man know not how to rule his own house, how shall he take care of the church of God?) Not a novice, lest being lifted up with pride he fall into the condemnation of the devil. Moreover he must have a good report of them which are without; lest he fall into reproach and the snare of the devil.

Likewise must the deacons be grave, not doubletongued, not given to much wine, no greedy of filthy lucre; Holding the mystery of the faith in a pure conscience. And let these

also first be proved; then let them use the office of a deacon, being found blameless. Even so must their wives be grave, not slanderers, sober, faithful in all things. Let the deacons be the husbands of one wife, ruling their children and their own houses well. For they that have used the office of a deacon well purchase to themselves a good degree, and great boldness in the faith which is in Christ Jesus. 1 Timothy 3:1-13

We can get a glimpse of what a person's character is by what they believe and/or endorse. Scripture says:

And he said, That which cometh out of the man, that defileth the man. For from within, out of the heart of men, proceed evil thoughts, adulteries, fornications, murders, Thefts, covetousness, wickedness, deceit, lasciviousness, an evil eye, blasphemy, pride, foolishness: All these evil things come from within, and defile the man. Mark 7:21-23

Aside from race, which I think everyone knows what Al Sharpton's views are; what are his feelings about some of the more charged issues. Since Scripture says that life is sacred and that Yahweh is all for preserving life, what does Sharpton believe about abortion? Does he hold a Christian stance, which he should if he titles himself to be a Reverend? What about homosexuality, which the Bible says is an abomination? What are his personal thoughts?

Abortion:

Q: How do you feel about abortion?

A: I think women should have the right to choose what happens to their own life, body and choices. [Source: Concord Monitor / WashingtonPost.com on-line Q&A Nov 5, 2003]

I want to conserve Roe v. Wade. [Source: Interview on FOX Mar 6, 2003]

My religion says that abortion is wrong. And while I may believe that life begins when the sperm meets the egg, and that only God should decide whether to take a life, I will not

stand in the way of a woman's right to choose. If women do not have a right to choose, then it's a civil rights violation. [Source: Al On America, by Rev. Al Sharpton, p. 89 Jan. 01, 2002]

I would only appoint justices to the Supreme Court who are for women having the right to choose whether or not they will have an abortion. Now, I can believe something without having to impose my beliefs on others. That's true separation of church and state. [Source: Al On America, by Rev. Al Sharpton, p. 89 Jan 1, 2002]

It's pretty clear that Al Sharpton is all for abortion. He even chooses the decision of Roe vs. Wade and a woman's right to her own body, over Yahweh and Scripture. Al is right about one thing, he has religion, not a relationship with Yeshua. Yet even with that, he states that his religion is against abortion, and, he states that only God can dictate when a person dies; yet he gives the political correct answer and says that if a woman is denied the right to what happens to her body (the PC way of saying abortion) then her civil rights are being violated. SO I guess that Al holds the civil rights in more reverence than he does Scripture, or the God of Scripture. Bad choice Al.

Views on Homosexuality:

Al Sharpton says that he is a American Baptist. What is the overall Baptist Church doctrine say about homosexuality?

As with most issues, there is a diversity of views of members of Baptist churches on homosexuality. However, the majority of established Baptist churches condemn homosexual behavior. Those Baptists who hold that homosexuality is sinful also teach that homosexual sin can be forgiven through Christ if repentance is shown.

Nevertheless, Baptists generally believe that homosexuality must be an issue that is approached with compassion and love. Dr. Albert Mohler, President of The Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, emphasizes that: "Our response to persons involved in homosexuality must be marked by genuine compassion. But a central task of genuine

compassion is telling the truth, and the Bible reveals a true message we must convey. Those seeking to contort and subvert the Bible's message are not responding to homosexuals with compassion. To lie is never compassionate — and their lie leads unto death.” [http://www.albertmohler.com/documents/HomosexualityBible.pdf]

And in the words of the American Baptist Church itself, they state at the American Baptist Convention:

NOVEMBER 2005: “The General Board voted “To amend the document entitled We Are American Baptists by adding the following statement to the section A Biblical People: Who submit to the teaching of Scripture that God's design for sexual intimacy places it within the context of marriage between one man and one woman, and acknowledge that the practice of homosexuality is incompatible with Biblical teaching” [http://www.abc-usa.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/homosexuality1.pdf]

Conversely, what does Al Sharpton believe about homosexuality?

Al Sharpton, a Baptist minister and Civil rights leader, during his campaign for the Democratic presidential nomination in 2004 said that asking whether gays or lesbians should be able to get married was insulting: “That's like saying you give blacks, or whites, or Latinos the right to shack up – but not get married [...] It's like asking ‘do I support black marriage or white marriage’. . . . The inference of the question is that gays are not like other human beings”. [Sharpton Chides Black Churches Over Homophobia, Gay Marriage, Dyana Bagby, Houston Voice, January 24, 2006]

And in an article written by Al Sharpton:

REV. AL SHARPTON ON GAY MARRIAGE DEBATE: EQUALITY INCLUDES EVERYONE

Opinion

by Rev. Al Sharpton March 27, 2013 at 10:33 AM

Our founding fathers created a nation built on the power of its citizens and drafted the U.S. Constitution to guard against tyranny by any singular or majority power.

As Americans, it is up to each and every one of us to fight injustice and inequality when we are faced with it. Today, we are faced with such injustice in the form of discrimination against same-sex couples, who deserve the same freedom to marry as anyone else.

We cannot be part-time advocates for justice. When we fail to stand up to tyranny, we leave an opening for an attack on our own civil rights. The issue is not about being gay or straight but about the civil rights of Americans who are seeking to have the same rights and protections as their brothers and sisters across this great nation.

We can't stand on the sidelines

Certainly, having the same rights and protections must apply to marriage: the cornerstone of our families, our neighborhoods, and society at large. We cannot stand on the sidelines as loving and committed gay and lesbians are denied the freedom to marry. Our fellow LGBT citizens are faced with discrimination in their jobs, their communities, and their everyday lives. Because of the federal Defense of Marriage Act (DOMA) and state laws like California's discriminatory Proposition 8 legislation banning same-sex marriage, gay and lesbian couples are treated as second-class citizens.

That's why the American people are demanding change. According to a recent Washington Post-ABC News poll, a bipartisan majority of Americans — 58 percent — already support the freedom to marry. That number leaps to 81 percent among voters under 30.

The African-American community has played an important role in our country's evolution on this issue. Our president, as well as our religious, civil rights, and community leaders have increasingly recognized the similarity in our struggles — and the importance of standing together in the face of oppression. A majority of African-Americans now support the freedom to marry for same-sex couples and recognize that equal rights for gay and lesbian couples change nothing in our marriages, our churches, or our faith.

Gay marriage is not a threat to religious freedom

One of the key questions before the Supreme Court this week is whether the freedom to marry infringes on religious freedom. As a Baptist minister, religious freedom is

something that I believe in deeply and feel is intrinsically woven into the fabric of our nation. But just as I believe that religious freedom allows me the freedom to preach and believe as I choose, it also means that I do not have the right to impose my beliefs on anyone else. It should not be within anyone's power to tell a religious institution that they cannot marry a loving, committed same-sex couple in their faith.

I am urging the Supreme Court to end DOMA and to extend the freedom to marry to all couples: gay or straight. It's the right thing to do, and it is crucial for our nation to affirm that we are a nation that values freedom and the equal treatment of all our citizens under the law.

Rev. Al Sharpton is president of the National Action Network and the host of MSNBC's "Politics Nation." [Source: <http://thegrio.com/2013/03/27/rev-al-sharpton-on-gay-marriage-debate-equality-includes-everyone/>]

So, it is very easy to see that Sharpton fails to see the divide between what belongs to Caesar and what belongs to Yahweh. He places civil rights over Biblical Scripture and the thoughts of the God that he pretends to serve.

As I clearly said above in this article; we cannot serve two masters. Scripture says so:

*No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon.
Matthew 6:24*

It is clear that Sharpton is trying to serve two masters. He wants to lean on his supposed Christian foundation but he also wants to champion civil rights by being the messiah for the African American Community. He wants to bear the title of one who is supposed to live in peace and teach others to live in peace while at the same time he whips individuals and crowds up into a riotous frenzy. He wants to have his cake and eat it too, so to speak. He is the antithesis of Dr. Martin Luther King. King was a man of peace and his actions showed it consistently. King's drive and purpose was to bring people together and his marches were peaceful. King paid the ultimate price for being Godly; he paid it with his blood and nobody will ever forget the image of him; who he was and what he strove for.

SO WHAT ABOUT JESSEE JACKSON?

Jesse Louis Jackson, Sr. (born Jesse Louis Burns; October 8, 1941) is an American civil rights activist and Baptist minister. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jesse_Jackson]

I have read quite a bit about both Jackson and Sharpton. I think that if I was asked to talk to one or the other, I would choose Jackson. Why do I say this? First, Jackson was actually educated in a Christian (well it might have been Christian back then but not now) University and he received an ordination from this school. He wasn't ordained by a pastor friend like Sharpton was. Jackson studied and did his homework, so to speak.

Other reasons why I would prefer to talk to Jackson over Sharpton is Jackson has been involved in a variety of things. He once held public office. He has on several occasions flown to other nations and procured the release of political prisoners and prisoners of war. He just seems to give a damn about things rather than being only a rabble rouser.

Having said those good things about Jesse Jackson one has to look at the other side. He in addition to Sharpton seems to show up when high profile black vs. white issues come into the limelight. He reminds me of a shyster lawyer that follows ambulances so that he can give his card to the injured so that he can sue, sue, sue in the cases where he thinks he can get the camera time and moral kudos.

One such case is illustrated below and led to quite an embarrassment for Mr. Jackson:

Involvement in the Duke Lacrosse Team Controversy

In 2006, Jesse Jackson promised the Rainbow/Push Coalition would pay the college tuition for Crystal Mangum. Mangum made false rape allegations against members of Duke University's men's lacrosse team who had hired her as a stripper. Jackson said it would not matter if Mangum fabricated her story, the tuition offer would still be good. [<http://www.wral.com/news/local/story/1091599/>]

As it turned out Crystal Mangum did lie and during the trial her testimony was torn to shreds. Originally the news media had made this story out to be a poor black woman who was gang raped by a few white college students. When it was found out that the young woman was a avid liar, Jackson sort of left town with his tail between his legs. To make it seem like his actions

weren't politically motivated he offered to keep the tuition offer on the table for the false accuser. Would a true man of Yahweh do that? Personally, I would have retracted the offer simply because it was made in good faith to someone who it turns out was a disingenuous person. However, Jackson's motivations were pure or whether they were to save face we'll cut him some slack, I guess.

We looked above at Sharpton and how he feels about some key issues that face our society and we saw that he failed in so many ways, at least from the standpoint of being a supposed "reverend." How does Jackson's character hold up where these issues are concerned? Is he a genuine and scripturally based man, or is he just another politically correct shill? Let's look at his beliefs and some other character traits, shall we?

Stand on abortion:

Although Jackson was one of the most liberal members of the Democratic Party, his position on abortion was originally more in line with pro-life views. Within one month after the 1973 Supreme Court decision, Roe v. Wade, legalized abortion, Jackson began a PUSH campaign against the decision, calling abortion murder and declaring that Jesus and Moses might not have been born if abortion had been available in ancient times. Jackson's strong rhetoric on abortion temporarily alienated one of his major supporters, Dr. T.R.M Howard, a black physician who made a living from performing abortions. In 1975, Jackson endorsed a plan for a constitutional amendment banning abortion. He also endorsed the Hyde Amendment, which bars the funding of abortions through the federal Medicaid program. Writing in a 1977 National Right to Life Committee News report, Jackson argued that the basis for Roe v. Wade – the right to privacy – was also a premise that had been used to justify slavery and the treatment of slaves on the plantations. Jackson and decried what he believed was the casual taking of life, and the decline in society's value system. However, Jackson later adopted a pro-choice view that abortion is a right and that the government should not prevent a woman from having an abortion. [http://groups.csail.mit.edu/mac/users/rauch/nvp/consistent/mccarthy_jackson.html]

So, at the beginning Jackson was set against abortion but as the tide of public opinion (at least according to the media) changed and stated that most Americans favored abortion Jackson's opinion changed also. Seems like the tide of public opinion is more important to Jesse Jackson than Scripture, or Scripture's God is. Another person that tries to serve two masters.

So what about Jackson's "National Rainbow Coalition?"



Jesse Jackson was a Presidential candidate in both 1984 and 1988.

Jackson took on the idea of the Rainbow Coalition and ran with it. In its own, the idea of forming a political group for equal rights of minorities and the oppressed isn't a bad idea and was probably needed according to many. However, let's look at the group and who it represented and even more importantly, the "expanded" group of persons that it became an advocate for:

The National Rainbow Coalition (Rainbow Coalition for short) was a political organization that grew out of Jesse Jackson's 1984 presidential campaign. During the campaign Jackson began speaking to a "Rainbow Coalition", an idea created by Fred Hampton, of the disadvantaged and welcomed voters from a broad spectrum of races and creeds. The goals of the campaign were to demand social programs, voting rights, and affirmative action for all groups that had been neglected by Reaganomics. Jackson's campaign blamed President Ronald Reagan's policies for reduction of government domestic spending, causing new unemployment and encouraging economic investment outside of the inner cities, while they discouraged the rebuilding of urban industry. The industrial layoffs caused by these policies hit the black and other minority populations particularly hard. At the 1984 Democratic National Convention on July 18, 1984 in San Francisco, California, Jackson delivered the Keynote address, entitled "The Rainbow

*Coalition”. The speech called for Arab Americans, Native Americans, Asian Americans, youth, disabled veterans, small farmers, **lesbians and gays** to join with African Americans and Jewish Americans for political purpose. Whereas the purpose of PUSH had been to fight for economic and educational opportunities, the Rainbow Coalition was created to address political empowerment and public policy issues. After his unsuccessful bid for the Democratic nomination in 1984, Jackson attempted to build a broad base of support among groups that “were hurt by Reagan administration policies” – racial minorities, the poor, small farmers, working mothers, the unemployed, some labor union members, **gays, and lesbians**. [<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rainbow/PUSH>]*

Again, it would be a noble cause to champion for those who one thinks are oppressed; those who through unforeseen events, birth, race and economic reasons were seen to be oppressed. Let’s look however at these groups. Minorities are oppressed people who are denied rights because of race, creed or color. People of race and color are born into their race or color and discrimination against them is wrong no matter what way you look at it. People of creed (belief or philosophy) have taken on their belief’s because of philosophical or religious conviction and discrimination against them is wrong also; granted that their philosophy is peaceful and productive. The poor, small farmers, working mothers and the unemployed have been placed into their economic conditions because of societal conditions. Homosexuals, bisexuals and others who CHOOSE deviant behavior are discriminated against because their lifestyle goes against nature and especially against the Word of Yahweh. For a supposed “man of God” to champion for their rights and/ or their cause is plain wrong and not something that those who trust and love Yahweh should be involved in. We love the sinner but hate the sin. Hating the sin means we do not promote it or enable it in a person’s life; but Jackson seems intent on doing so, why? Perhaps because it is politically correct and like Sharpton, championing “civil rights” is more important to Jackson than championing the Word of Yahweh.

Jackson is Anti-Jewish and Anti-Israel and Pro-Muslim:

Jackson was criticized in the early 1980s for refusing to repudiate Louis Farrakhan, for his support of a Palestinian state, and for remarks made to a reporter where he referred to New York City as “Hymietown”. [Joyce Purnick and Michael Oreskes (November 29, 1987). “Jesse Jackson Aims for the Mainstream”. The New York Times.] [Larry J. Sabato’s Feeding Frenzy (July 21, 1998). “Jesse Jackson’s ‘Hymietown’ Remark – 1984”. Washington Post.] Note: Hymie is a racial slur toward Jewish people.

And in addition:

*Jackson also made other remarks evidencing a negative attitude toward Jews including saying that Richard Nixon was less attentive to poverty in the U.S. because “four out of five [of Nixon’s top advisers] are German Jews and their priorities are on Europe and Asia”; that he was “sick and tired of hearing about the Holocaust”; and that there are “very few Jewish reporters that have the capacity to be objective about Arab affairs”. Shortly after President Jimmy Carter fired U.N. Ambassador Andrew Young for meeting with Palestine Liberation Organization representatives, Jackson and other black leaders began publicly endorsing a Palestinian state, with Jackson calling Israel’s prime minister a “terrorist”, and then soliciting Arab-American financial support [Frum, David (2000). *How We Got Here: The ’70s*. New York, New York: Basic Books. p. 273. ISBN 0-465-04195-7.]*

And yet another thing:

*Jackson has since apologized for some of these remarks, but they badly damaged his presidential campaign, as “Jackson was seen by many conservatives in the United States as hostile to Israel and far too close to Arab governments.” [Elliott, Justin (2010-12-16) *A White House campaign funded by ... Libya?*, Salon.com]*

In my estimation, anyone who is truly Born Again and has read Biblical Scripture and believes Bible Scripture to be the inspired Word of Yahweh all tend to believe that we are to stand by Israel. We don’t necessarily have to agree with what secular Israel does all of the time, but we are to stand with them, love them and pray for them. Jackson’s statements can only prove that he does not have a biblical knowledge of what is right and what is wrong. Again, he bears a title that says he should be revered as a sanctified man of Yahweh, yet he bears no fruit of being such, in fact, he is the antithesis of what a believer should stand for and support.

Member Of A Secret Society:

Another red flag that anyone with discernment should see is the fact that, where Jackson is concerned, he’s a Freemason:

On Memorial Day, May 25, 1987, Jesse was made a Master Mason on Sight by Grand Master Senter of the Most Worshipful Prince Hall Grand Lodge of Illinois; thereby

*making him a Prince Hall Freemason. [http://www.phaohio.org/mwphgloh/likfm.html]
[The History of the Most Worshipful Prince Hall Grand Lodge of Ohio F&AM 1971 –
2011: The Fabric of Freemasonry. Columbus, Ohio: Most Worshipful Prince Hall Grand
Lodge of Ohio F&AM. p. 414. ISBN 978-0615632957.]*

I know I'll get letters from Masons who will argue that the Masonic Fellowship is not Satanic but countless testimonies prove that it is truly Luciferian in nature and we know that Lucifer fell from grace to become Satan. If you're a younger member, in the lower grades of Masonry, you'll find out when you climb the ladder and I can only pray that you'll have the gonadal fortitude to get out before it is too late. Here is a newsflash for Jesse Jackson and anyone who claims to be a believer in Yeshua and a member of the Masonic Lodge; you are serving two masters and if you don't take that seriously you'll end serving Satan and denying Yeshua and you'll be damned forever. Don't argue with me, take it up with Yahweh Yeshua. Jesus Christ, that is.

Summation:

Yeshua said that we are never to condemn anyone:

*Judge not, that ye be not judged. For with what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged:
and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again. Matthew 7:1-2*

The Greek word for Judge in this passage, and in so many others is "krino" and in the proper rendering it means to "condemn," or to "give a judicial decision." There is no doubt that some who have read this blog entry will contend that this is what I have done. In effect, what I have written is opposite of what you might assert.

What I have done is what any good prosecuting attorney would do. I have brought evidence, citing sources, showing that Sharpton and Jackson are false shepherds and maybe in some way's false prophets.

Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves. Ye shall know them by their fruits. Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles? Even so every good tree bringeth forth good fruit; but a corrupt tree bringeth forth evil fruit. A good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit, neither can a corrupt

tree bring forth good fruit. Every tree that bringeth not forth good fruit is hewn down, and cast into the fire. Wherefore by their fruits ye shall know them. Matthew 7:15-20

Some might assert that Sharpton and Jackson have never claimed to be prophets. Well, that might be true, but the “New Testament Prophet” is different in some ways from what we commonly know as a prophet from the time before Yeshua. Let’s look at one meaning of the word “prophets,” mentioned in the scripture above

prophētēs

in the religious assemblies of the Christians, they were moved by the Holy Spirit to speak, having power to instruct, comfort, encourage, rebuke, convict, and stimulate, their hearers

Of course, a false prophet, using the terms cited above of a prophet, would do the opposite of a person called to pastor people; they would conduct the following:

They would have the power to give false instruction, cause strife, enforce discouragement, rebuke in unrighteousness, condemn instead of convict and stimulate their hearers not into peace and love, but into hate and discord. Does this sound like Jackson and Sharpton, of course it does? Calling them false shepherds isn’t judging them, it is pointing out that they aren’t acting like a true shepherd appointed by Yahweh. Their own actions point them out for who they are.

It is interesting that the word “know” in the “know them by their fruits,” also denotes that by the actions of false prophets and teachers, we will know them to be false. The Greek word for “know” is:

epiginōskō

1) to become thoroughly acquainted with, to know thoroughly

a) to know accurately, know well

2) to know

a) to recognise

1) by sight, hearing, of certain signs, to perceive who a person is

b) to know i.e. to perceive

c) to know i.e. to find out, ascertain

d) to know i.e. to understand

In essence, we can know who Jackson and Sharpton are by hearing what they say, seeing what they do and perceiving by certain signs that they themselves show us.

Sharpton says that he's a Reverend but he whips people up into a frenzy to cause discord between black and Hispanics and whites. He does really nothing to foster peace and never really has anything nice to say about anyone who is not African American. He claims to be a man of Yahweh, yet he is opposed to Yahweh's scripture where homosexuality and abortion is concerned. He has said more than once that he esteems "civil rights" over Yahweh's opinion about the subjects above. He race bates and has done more to divide blacks and whites than anyone else in history. These are not the actions of a servant of Yahweh; they are the actions of a puppet of Satan.

Jackson is a mirror image of Sharpton but has done some good; hopefully for good reasons but maybe for image and political ambition. In addition to Sharpton's plate of iniquity, Jackson also has a vile hatred for Jews and Israel and a unnatural love for Islam. He was probably an adherent to Christlam far in advance of Rick Warren. And lastly, Jackson is a member of the Masonic Religion; a person that is giving the air of serving Yahweh while at the same time serving Lucifer.

So, there you have it. All of the fruit of these men served up on a dish for everyone to see. Know them by their fruit and know them for who they really are. They're not what they purport to be, but if you judge them through any eyes other than Messiah's eyes you probably won't see their fruit and you'll wholeheartedly disagree with the evidence that I've produced through my research. If that is the case, all I can do is pray that Yahweh opens your eyes.
AMEN.

